G. R. S. 597

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1908

( )

File No. 45 - 08

Serial Nos. 366

Papers Filed.

Month August - 09.

SUBJECT.

Remanded the case of Shannah of
the his rights to the post of Shanbhoga
Ablishek a service in the Ranneboor Tenn
to the Dr Nour of Shimoga for enquiry
and disposal.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
45 - 08 851 - 2	

GPB—1,000—16 6-08

G.R.S 11] 190 8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mysore)

NOTES.

File No 45-08

FILE HEADING.

Re the Shanbhogue's post of Sri Rameswara Swamy temple at Kibadi

From

Shanbhogue of Kibadi

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No. 242	Enclos 1+4	Spare Copies / Serial No. 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Represents that as there was every probability of his getting the appointment even after the Dy Comm's order, there was some delay in preferring the Appeal and has filed an Affidavit which purports to say that the proceedings taken in the matter came to a close on 14.9.08.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

(Mysore) Endorsement No 153 Dt 4-11-1908 Calls upon the petitioner to give satisfactory reasons for delay in appealing against the Dy Comm's order

Submitted for orders

15/11/08

Draft issued

Dt 16/11/08

Dr B Carey's appointments

6.12 Carey

Issued

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

Notes—First sheet

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mucy File No. 45 of 1909

Serial No.	
(4)	To, The Dy Commr, Shimoga Dist^t Memo no 591 Dy 11 1:09 Forwards Sl 3 and calls for reports.
(5)	From, The Same officer, Letter no. 622 Dy 19-5-09 Submits report called for in Sl (3) The Assistant commissioner's English Report Dy 27th march 1909 gives a clear account of the facts of the case
Para 2)	On the 26th September 1902, one Shankarappa of Keladi Grama made a request to the Sagar Taluk office stating that owing to some disputes, they agreed to the partition of the family property among the 3 brothers and praying that as agreed to in the partition deed, the Shanbhogue's post of Keladi Rameswaraswamy temple standing in his name may be transferred to his brother (the Appellant before Govt) Shamiah's name.
Para 4)	On the 19th october 1902, the 3 brothers gave a statement before the Amildar to the effect that Shankarappa should enjoy the Patel of the Keladi village, Shamiah, temple Shanbhogue's post and the 3rd brother Gundappa, each Inam of Rs 12-2-2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

Serial No.

On the 10th December 1902, Shankara appa alone gave another Kyphiyath to the effect that during his father's life time. (probably in 1898-9), the post was transferred to his name, that owing to distance of time, he had no records in support thereof and that the appointment is hereditary in the family and pray that as originally agreed to by him, the Shanbhogitke may be made out in his brother Shamiah's name.

From the 20th October 1902 till the 3rd October 1903, the matter was under correspondence between the Taluk and District offices with regard to the previous orders appointing Shankarappa as Shanbhogue in consequence of which no formal orders were issued carrying out the terms of the partition deed.

In the meanwhile, about the 15th August 1903, Shankarappa again petitioned the Sub Divisional office stating that the Shanbhogi in question was not a Govt. appointment and that as per his previous

Regd)

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—18-5-08

Serial No.

report, it should not be transferred to his brother's name.

(Page 4)

On the 3rd October 1903, the Sub Divl. officer issued an order to say that nothing could be done in the matter as Shankarappa objected to the transfer of the Barders.

(Page 5)

Against this order, Shamiah appealed to the Dy. Commr with the result that his Appeal was dismissed (Vide order dated 3rd October 1904)

(Page 6)

On the 4th October 1904, the Dy. Commr. issued an order observing that contrary to standing orders, Shankarappa was holding 2 posts — Govt. Patelki and temple Shanbhogi and directing that a competent Gymastha should be appointed to the temple post.

The Amildar thereupon reported that considering the smallness of the pay, no body was willing to take up the temple appointment and recommended that Shankarappa might as heretofore be permitted to attend to the duties of both the offices. The Sub Divisional officer observed that 12 Khandies of

GPB—50 000—18-5-05

Serial No.

paddy being the emoluments attached to the post were sufficient for the maintenance of a single individual and directed that the Dy commrs. order should be carried into effect (order dated 24th June 1905)

The Amildar reported that needful orders had been issued to the Shekdar

Flag T) Thereupon the Sub Divl officer directed that one Bommanna Bhatta who had applied to him, might if found fit, be appointed to the post

As Bommanna Bhatta failed to appear in spite of the notice issued by the Amildar, the Sub Divl officer ordered on 12th March 1907 that Shamich,

Flag S) brother of Shankarappa might be appointed as Gumastha on behalf of Govt

Flag Z) On 3d June 1907, Shankarappa wrote complaint-petition objecting to the appointment of his brother on the ground that the latter ~~had~~ originally advanced his claim to the post and that he was already the Shanbhoga Gumastha of Siravada circle

On the 21st June 1907, the

Note—Contn. sheet

GPL—50 000—18-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 45 of 1908

Serial No.

Amildar made a report to say that Shankarappa flatly declined to hand over charge to his brother. The Sub divisional officer ordered that a warrant should be issued and the terms of his order, enforced. Thereupon Shankarappa having produced one Gutta gois, the latter was ordered to the duties as Shanbhogai.

Hag 2)

Against the Dy. Commrs. order of dismissal, Shamiah has appealed to Govt. The reasons for delay in preferring the Appeal as assigned by the Appellant are enumerated in the 1st page of the office note.

Submitted for orders

AV 21/5/09.

21/5/09

Under Secretary's note

The facts of the case will be clear from the above note, the last Divisional officer's report and the petitioner's affidavit.

Hag D.

Petr has two brothers. The Shanbhogi of the Gutta and the Patelli of the Village are the brothers' affairs. When the brothers divided a portion of the Inam land was assigned to the elder brother Shankarappa the Village Patel. Shankarappa disposed of the Inam land

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPD—50,000—18-5-08

Serial No.

Collector Ferozepur
Slip 7

Vide Page 5 1/11 in the name
S. No. 405)-94 GS/1117 Nov 1896
R. 1406

Note—Contn sheet

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

8

MR3 File No. 45 of 1908

Serial No.

If the Committee thinks that further proceedings may be taken the case may be posted for hearing and notices issued to the parties. Otherwise the petition may be rejected.

Submitted to the Committee
5/May Ambalavanaga

Is it clear that the Temple Sanyasi is or is not a hereditary appointment? Where is the rule laid down

The case may be posted for hearing, notices being given to both the brothers & the pumasks doing the work.

17/6/09

Posted for hearing. Issue notices - Specimen
18/6/09 clerk that this and the another case may be posted to the 28th & that one or two more files may be brought before the Committee

28/6/09

Posted for hearing on the 19th inst. There are Revenue Board cases
19.6.09 19/6/09

Notices returned served -
Submitted to the Committee
Ambalavanaga
19/7/09

Posted for hearing the 21st inst.

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13 5 08

Serial No.

Pet[r] present & heard -

[illegible] subscriber & Petitioner [illegible] both sides [illegible] heard.

Order -

The chief question is [illegible] the appointment [illegible] necessary one. Respondent 7 [illegible] have been [illegible] due enquiry. If on enquiry it is found to be [illegible] appointment, there is nothing against the terms of [illegible] between the brothers being enforced. If the partition is admitted or proved, it seems to be a fair arrangement that one brother should have a Karen & another a Sambagi ~~and the~~ the Petr may be appointed to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Revised Draft

Revised Draft

Revised Draft

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 45 of 1908

Serial No.	
6	To the Dy Comr. Trichinopoly Dist. No 415 of 10.9.09. Refers the case to the Dy Comr. for being disposed of after enquiry

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09.

ಬ್ಯಾಂಕಿನಿಂದ ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆದು ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀ
4ನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರು ಸಾಲ ಮರುಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಗದೆ ಸಾಲದ ಹೊರೆಯಿಂದ ಬೇಸತ್ತು ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರೂ ಇಲ್ಲದ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮನೆಯ ಹಿಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಸಿಮೆಂಟ್ ಶೀಟಿನ ಶೆಡ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೋ ವಿಷಕಾರಿ ಔಷಧಿಯನ್ನು ಸೇವಿಸಿ ಆತ್ಮಹತ್ಯೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಮೃತರ ಪತ್ನಿಯಾದ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮೃತರ ಸಹೋದರಿಯಾದ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅವರು ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಸಮಾಧಾನ ಪಡಿಸಿ ಮೃತರ ಶವವನ್ನು ಸಾಗಿಸಲು ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಆಗ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮೃತರ ಸಹೋದರಿಯಾದ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಿಗೆ

నాళ్ళ వరుసగా వివాహాలు చేసుకొని మూడు సంవత్సరాలు కాపురం చేసిన తరువాత ఆమె భర్త మరణించాడు. ఆమె తన తల్లిదండ్రుల ఇంటికి వచ్చి వారి సంరక్షణలో ఉండసాగింది. ఆమె తల్లిదండ్రులు ఆమెకు మరొక వివాహం చేయాలని ప్రయత్నించారు. కాని ఆమె ఒప్పుకోలేదు. ఆమె తన భర్త జ్ఞాపకాలతో జీవించాలని కోరుకుంది. ఆమె తన భర్త ఇంటికి వెళ్ళిపోయి అక్కడ ఒంటరిగా జీవించసాగింది. ఆమె తన భర్త ఆస్తిని చూసుకుంటూ, అతని కుటుంబ సభ్యులతో మంచి సంబంధాలు కొనసాగించింది. ఆమె తన భర్త జ్ఞాపకాలను గౌరవిస్తూ, అతని కుటుంబానికి సేవ చేయడంలో సంతృప్తిని పొందింది. ఆమె తన జీవితాన్ని ఒంటరిగా గడపడానికి నిర్ణయించుకుంది. ఆమె తన భర్త ఇంటిలో ఒంటరిగా జీవించడం ప్రారంభించ

సూరుబాబాయ్ చుట్టుపక్కల ఉండే వాళ్ళందరూ వచ్చారు.

కేళ్ళది వాళ్ళ ఇంటిదగ్గర కార్లు సాక్షి ఉంచరయ్యి.

కేళ్ళది వాళ్ళ పక్కన పక్కనే సాక్షి ఉంచరయ్యి.

కేళ్ళది ఇంటి ముందు సాక్షి ఉంచరయ్యి.

చిన్న లుంగీ మీద కండువా వేసుకున్నవాడు చెప్పాడు కేళ్ళది.

ఆయన్ని ఇంటి దగ్గర వదిలిపెట్టి వాళ్ళు వెళ్ళిపోయారు.

ఇంటి ముందు ఎవరూ లేరు.

ఇంట్లో ఎవరూ లేరు.

ఇంటి ముందు ఎవరూ లేరు.

1902 వ్యాజ్యాన్ని నేను ఫిర్యాదుదారుగా ఇచ్చిన పిటిషన్ లో 4¾ ఎకరాలలో నా అనుభవంలో ఉన్న పొలం గురించి చెప్పాను కదా.

ఆ పొలం గురించి ఎవరూ ఏమీ అనలేదు.

ఇంటి ముందు ఎవరూ లేరు.

ఇంటి ముందు ఎవరూ లేరు.

ఇంటి ముందు ఎవరూ లేర

27-11-08

ಮ್ಯಾನೂಮು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ್ದ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ
ಪರಿಂಟಿಂಡೆಂಟು ಸಾಂಡ್ಲೋಬರವರಜಿನಾ—
ಚಿತ್ತಗ್ಗಿ—

ಆಫೈಲುವಾದಿ ನೈಋತಿ ತಾರಂಗಿ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿನು ಬರಕೆಯಂತ ಅಫಿಡೆವಿಟ್ಟು
ನೈಋತಿ ಕಾಂಸ್ಟೇಬ್ಲಂದ್ರೋವನಧ್ಯ ನೆದಳಾನುಷ್ಠಿಕೆ ನಂ ಮ್ಯಾ—
ಅಂಗಾ ಕೆಂಮ್ಮಂದಿರಮಧ್ಯ ಡಿಸ್ಪೆ ಆದಕಾರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ನಂವರಿ ಸ್ಪೆನಿಬಿಂದು
ಯಿದರಮೇರಿಸಿನಂದಹಿಸರೀಸಿಬಾವದ್ಯಕೆಂಪಿಲ್ಲಾಂಗಲು ಕಾ 26-9-02 27.11.08
ಕಲ್ಲಿ—ಬಾವದ್ಯಕೆದಾರವಂನಲಗಾಶೀಕ್ಷೆಪ್ಪೆನು ಸಾನಕರಕಾಳ್ಳಿನಗ್ಗಿಲ
ಜೈಲ್ಲೊಳ್ಳಿ- ಲೂಬಸ್ಪೆಕೆಲಿವುದಿವಸಕ್ಕೆವಿನಕ್ಕರುಲೂವಿಕ್ಷಿಯಂ— Up well.
ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟುಕೆಡ್ಡಿ ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪಚಿ ಕೆಂಮ್ಮಗನೆಸಾಂಡ್ಲೋಬರವರಗ್ಗಿಲ್ಲಿ
ರಿಸಿಕಾ 3-10-90ವರಗ್ಗಿ ನನಸಿವಿರ್ಯೋಧವಾಗಿಸ್ಪೆನಲಾಯಿಲೆಕ್ಕೆ— by Ram
ನಂಕ್ಷೇನಂನಲಗಾಸಥಿಶಂಷಿಸ್ಪೆನಪಿಸರಿನಗ್ಗಿ- Marwadi
ಟ್ಟೋದಿವಸ್ಸಾನವಶಾನಷಿಯೋಕ್ಕೆವುಕ್ಕಿನೈಋತಿದ್ರಾವಿದವತಿಕ್ರಿಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲೂಸ್ಟೇಷ್ಟ್ರಿ
ರಿಸಿಪಿಬರಾವದ್ಯಲ್ಲಿಲದ್ದಿಲು ಪಿಕೆಲಿಸಾನಕ್ರಿಲಿಯವನುವಚ್ಚಿಸ್ಟೇನ್ಸ್ಟೇ
ರಲಿಕ್ಕ ಲಿರುವಿದುರಂಟ್ಟೋನಿವಿಕ್ಕೋದ್ಯಕೆಂಕೆಆಫೈಲ್ಲಿರುಪಿಬಂದ್ಲು-ಬ್ಬೆ-
ಶೀಲಂಯಿಳಿಜಿನನಂನ್ನಶಾನಷಿಯೋಕ್ಕೆನಿಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ-ನೀವಿನಸ್ಟೇಕ್ಕೆ-
ಕೆಂಕಡಿವಸಿಂಡ್ಲಾಡಿಪ್ರೆಸ್ಟೆಕೆಡ್ಡಿತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪಚಿಕೆಂಮ್ಮಗನೆಸಾಂಡಿಲಬರ
ವರಕ್ಕುಂಆದಪ್ರಕಾರಂಲಿಂಬಗ್ಗಿನುದಿಶೀಂಕ್ರಿಸ್ಟೆವಿನಿಸೀನೇಂಲ್ಲಿ
ಟ್ಟೀಸುಕೊಂಡಲ್ಲಾಕಚ್ಚಿಕೆಲಿಡ್ಡಿಕಿಲಿಜಿನಂನೀವಿಲಸಲ್ಲುನಿಳ್ಳಿ—
ಕರ್ಣಾಂದಕಷ್ಟುಆಕೆನದ್ದಬರಕೆಳ್ಳಿಗ್ಗಿನುದಿಶಾನಷಿಯೋಂಕೆಳ್ಳಿಸ್ಟೇಲ್ಲಿ
ನುಳ್ಳಾರಿಕರ್ಣುಗಳಿಲಂಕ್ಕೆಯುಂಗಿನನಸಿಕ್ಕೆಂಡಿಸಬೀಕ್ಕೆಂಕ-
ನಂಗಳನುಬ್ಬ್ರಿಟಿಜಿನ್ಸಾಂಡಿಲಬರವರಕ್ಕು 1345 ನೇಡ್ಯಂಕೆಂಲ್ಲಿರೀ
ಲೂಡ್ಯಂಶಂಅನುಸರಿಸಿನದ್ದಿಶೀಂಕ್ರಿಸ್ಟೆನಾಂಪ್ಪಕ್ಕಡಿಬಿಕಾಬಿಲ್ಲಿಕೆಂಡ 12-3-907
ಲ್ಲಿಕೆಕಕ್ಕಿಂಕುವಲ್ಲಿ- ಬ್ಬೆಂದ್ರಾಂಕಾಂಟುನಿಗಿಬಿಕಾಬಿಲ್ಲಿಗ್ಗಿಲಿಂ
ಡಿಸಬೀಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮೇಬಲ್ 40 ನೇಡ್ಯಂಸಾನಕರನುಬ್ಬ್ರಿಟಿಜಿನ್ಸಾಂ
2-7-907
ಡ್ಲೋಬರವಲುಕ್ಕೆಂಟ್ಲಿರು ಲೂಡ್ಯಕೆಂಕಬಿಕಾಬಿಲ್ಲಿಕ್ಕೆಳ್ಳಿದವಡನೆನದ್ರಿಶಂ
ಕ್ಕಸ್ಟೆನು ಶಾಖಿನಷಿಯೋಕ್ಕೆನನಸಿದಖ್ಖಿಂಡಾದಮು ಕಲ್ಲಿಕ್ಕಾನು ಬೀಕ್ಕೆಂ
ಬಿಮುಕ್ಕಿಕರಡಿಂದ್ರಾಗುಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಂಯಂಬನುವುಂಸ್ಟನಂವಳ್ಳಿ

THE MUNSIFF MAGISTRATE, * T.A.D.

TALUK

Signature

against the asst Comrs order. I cannot see now in the family the positive refusal on the part of the brother to act up to the terms of the partition deed this can be given effect to.

The appeal is dismissed

3 Oct
1907.

Sd/- In S Narayana Rao

"True Copy"

Asst Commr

27 11 07

24 12 07

165

0-0-8

0-4-8



In the Court of the Deputy Com

Shimoga.

Restitution appeal No 19

of 1904 - 1905

Appellant Shamayya

Counter petitioner)

Shankarappa

Order

The contending parties are brothers and a dispute having arisen between this agreed to partition the family property between themselves A deed was executed & registered. In pursuance of that deed the office of Shanbhog of Keladi Ramanatha temple now in Shankarappa's hands was to be made over to the appellant. Before final orders were passed in the matter. A dispute appears to have again cropped up between the brothers and Shankarappa objects to the transfer of the office of Shanbhog. The Asst. Comr therefore declined to give effect to the proposals originally made. Shamayya appe

Muz.4]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Genl & Rev. Secretariat

MUZRAI ~~DEPARTMENT~~. Branch

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 592/45-08-4 Secy

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. D 242 dated 12th October & received 30th November 1908 at this office from Shamiah of Kelavi appealing against the Dy Commissioner's order rejecting his claim to the Shankaraya's post of Sri Rameswaraswamy temple at the place, is forwarded, together with its four enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Shimoga District for submission of the entire records connected with the case together with a detailed report thereon.

By Order,

S. L. Garg

Secretary,
~~Muzrai Department.~~

BANGALORE,
11-1-1909.

GPB—300—20-9-07

No. 592
45-08-5 Secy

D 1565
1909

From M. Narayana Rao Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To K.S. Chandra Sekharaiyar Esq B.A.B.L.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General & Rev Depts
Muzrai Branch

Dated

Despatched 19th May 1909

Received

General No. 622
No. in. 93

Enclosure No. 7 & 1 file.

With reference to Official Memorandum No 591 dated 11th January 1909, I submit a report on the petition of Shamiah of Kaladi appealing against the Deputy Commr's order rejecting his claim to the Shanbogue's post of Sri Ramaswamy Swamy's temple at the place.

Refer
AS
20/5/09
WH

No 622 Rev 7 & 1 file. Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District
Dated Shimoga 19th May 1909

From
M. Varain Rao Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To.
K. S. Chandra Sekhara Aiyar Esqr
B.A. B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts
Muzrai Branch.

Sir,

In returning herewith the enclosures received with your official memorandum No 591 dated the 11th January 1909, Muz 45-08-4 re the claim of Shamiah to the post of Shanbhogue of Sree Ramaswara Swamy temple at Keladi in the Sagar Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of Sagar

Sub Division

Sub Division Assistant
Commissioners letter no 4082
dated 25th March 1909, reporting
upon the petitioner's claim
to the Office the records connected
with the Case are also submitted
2. It will be seen that the
Office in question stands in the
name of Sankarappa, elder brother
of petitioner, who once consented
to transfer it to the Petitioner
but subsequently retracted
The duties of the Office are not
performed by Sankarappa, the
Barawaiddar, but by a substitute
nominated by him and approved
by the Sub Division Assistant
Commissioner.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

A. S. —
Dy. Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga

From

M. Sreenivasa Murti Esqr
Assistant Commissioner
Sagar Sub Division.

To. M. - Narain Rao Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

Dated 27th March 1909.

General No. 482

Enclosure No. one file.

His no. 2974 dated the 22nd January 1909, regarding Shamia's claims to the Shanbogi of Sri Rameshwara Swami Temple at Keladi, Sagar Taluk.

Has the honor to report as follows.—

Bharangi Subba Rao was the Shanbogue of the temple. He had three Sons, namely, Shankarappa, Shamiah and Gundappa. Shankarappa

is the eldest Son and in his name stand the Offices of the Shanbogue of the temple and Pateli of the Kelady Village.

In September 1902, a dispute arose between the petitioner Shamiah and his brothers and a partition deed was executed, by which the Shanbogi of the temple had to be made over to the petitioner a written Statement to which effect was given before the Amildar on the 19th October 1902. But Shankarappa the Barawanddar Shanbogue, retracted his Statement. An order no: 451 dated 3rd October 1904 was issued to the Taluk that nothing could "now" be done as the Barawanddar had changed his mind.

On this, the petitioner appealed to the Deputy Commissioner who dismissed the appeal

As Shankarappa was holding two Offices, namely, Pateli of Kelady Village

Village and Shanbogue of the
temple, the Deputy Commissioner
objected to a single person holding
two offices and directed that a
Competent person should be
appointed for the temple Shanbogue
One Bommanna Bhatta applied for
the said Shanbogue and as he did
not turn up, the Amildar
recommended the appointment
of Shamah the petitioner as
Shanbogue Gumasta of the
temple until the Barawarddar
Shankenappa produced a
Competent gumasta and a
notice was issued to that effect
to Shankenappa, who declining
to do so, produced one Putta
Jois which nomination was
approved in this office No.
492 dated 2nd October 1907.
Putta Jois is now doing the
work

The

The Vernacular file is submitted together with the enclosures received with the docket under reply

Sd/- M Sreenivasa Murti
Assistant Commissioner

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dist.

G.R S. 28]

File No. 45-08

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to—

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, the 190

Date of issue 10th August 1909

Signed by Mr. A. S. Ayer

415 encl 1 file.

M.7.45-08-6

READ—

Petition regd no 18 dated 12th October 1908 from Shanmukh of Keladi, Sagar Taluk, appealing against the order of the Shimoga Shanbhogue Dy Commr re the Sanbogi of Sri Rameswara Swamy Temple at the place

ORDER THEREON.—No

Letter no 629/93 dy 14th May 1909 from the Dy Commr, Shimoga Dist reporting on the above order thereon no dy one Bharangi Subba Rau was the Patel of the Keladi village and also the Shanbhogue over

SUBJECT

GPB—6000—13-9-09

of the Rameswara temple at the place. He was also getting a Cash Inam of Rs 12-12-2 ~~being the cash inam of Bharangi Agra~~ After his death, the offices were registered in the name of his eldest son Sankarappa. Later on, he and his brothers agreed among themselves that Sankarappa should be the Patel of the village, Peta Shamiah, the Shanbhogue of the temple and the other brother Gundappa, should get the Cash Inam Statements were made to this effect before the local authorities also, but before the matter was finally disposed of, Shankarappa withdrew his Statement and on account of this withdrawal, the local authorities could not give effect to Peta's prayer To appoint him ~~Sanbog~~ Shanbhogue of the temple.

2) Against this order, Shamiah

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

has appealed To Govt

3) The case was heard before Govt, petrs alone being present. and the counter-petitioners ~~though served with notices, did not appear.~~

4) The chief question is whether the appointment of ~~Sambhogam~~ Shanbhogue of Sri Rameswara swamy temple is a hereditary one. This seems To have been assumed without due enquiry If, on enquiry, it is found To be such an appointment, there is nothing against the terms of a partition between the brothers being enforced. If the partition is admitted or proved, it seems To be a fair arrangement that one brother should have a Pattoli and another, a Shanbhogue. The petrs may be appointed the Shanbhogue of the temple. If, on the other hand, the office is not a hereditary one, the petrs. claim may be rejected.

5) The case is again —

MGCPB—30,000—5-1 05

remanded to the Dy. Commr for being disposed off afters enquiry in accordance with the foregoing observations the case may be disposed of either by the Dy. Commr himself or by the Asstt Commr, Sagar Division, if it is referred to him by the Dy. Commr.

6/10/1964

Yrs. under)
for Secretary

To,

The Dy. Commr, Shimoga Distt
returning the records.